

United States Patent and Trademark Office

12

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/614,967	4,967 07/08/2003		Robert C. Gaffney	780396.92191CIP	8852	
26710	7590	01/19/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
QUARLES			BUI, LUAN KIM			
411 E. WISC SUITE 2040	ONSIN A	VENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MILWAUKE	E, WI 5	3202-4497	3728			

DATE MAILED: 01/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

824

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers		10/614,967	GAFFNEY ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Luan K Bui	3728				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
THE - External after - If the control of the contro	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insight of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing adequate the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS free, cause the application to become ABANDO	days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 L	December 2004.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
		Lx parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11,	433 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
,	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5,6,16,17,27 and 28</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-15 and 18-21</u> is/are allowed.						
<u> </u>	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22,23,26,29</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	Claim(s) 24 and 25 is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	•				
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
• —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	• •					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Burea		eived in this National Stage				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	`	ived.				
		•	•				
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	• '				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	Paper No(s)/Mai	l Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/8/03</u> .	6) Other:					

Claims 5, 6, 16, 17, 27 and 28 have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant 1. to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 12/23/2004.

Applicant's "traverse" of the requirement for election of species is noted. It is agreed that claims 1 and 22 are generic to all three species. However, Applicant is asserting "that the application currently includes at least one claim that is generic to all three Groups, the restriction is therefore improper, and that Applicant is entitled to prosecute claims 1-29" is noted. This is not persuasive because Applicant is not entitled to examination of multiple independent inventions in one application. Therefore, the request is denied because the species are not useable together they are by definition independent inventions.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made **FINAL**.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: 2.
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for *3*. failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 29, the phrase "said cover" lacks proper antecedent basis.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,967

Art Unit: 3728

V

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 22, 23 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pope et al. (5,839,583; hereinafter Pope'583). Pope'583 discloses a battery pack (1) comprising a card (2), a cover (3), a backing having a top and a bottom, a platform (10) extending from the backing top and an air access channel (12) formed in the platform. The platform of Pope'583 is inherently capable of holding button cell batteries on a top wall above the air access channel.
- 6. Claims 22, 23 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zaborney (3,433,351). Zaborney discloses a battery pack comprising a card (50), a backing (14) having a top and a bottom, a platform (34) extending from the backing top and an air access channel (42) formed in the platform. The platform of Zaborney is inherently capable of holding button cell batteries on a top wall above the air access channel.
- 7. Claims 22, 23 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Merboth et al. (6,830,149; hereinafter Merboth'149). Merboth'149 discloses a pack (10) comprising a card (60), a cover (40), a backing (50) having a top and a bottom, a platform (59,

Art Unit: 3728

*

62) extending from the backing top and an air access channel (52, 67) formed in the platform.

The platform of Merboth'149 is inherently capable of holding a button cell battery.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 1-4, 7-15 and 18-21 are allowed (since independent claims 1 and 11 are allowed then dependent claims 5, 6, 16 and 17 are also allowed).
- 9. Claims 24 and 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luan K. Bui whose telephone number is (571) 272-4552. If in receiving this Office Action, it is apparent to Applicant that certain documents are missing from the record for example copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Ms. Merilyn Watts at (571) 272-4398.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 306-5648. Facsimile correspondence for this application should be sent to (703) 872-9306 for Formal papers and After Final communications.

lkb

January 14, 2005

Luan K. Bui

Primary Examiner